

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/654,080	RADATTI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191	

All Participants:

(1) Phillip H. Nguyen.

(2) Frank J. Bonini (35,452).

Date of Interview: 16 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Examiner's proposed amendment.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

All independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Suzuki (JP02002342084A), Tobler (US 6,978,232), and Chen (US 5,832,208).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the telephone interview with the undersigned attorney, examiner indicated the allowable subject matter recited in the independent claim 29. Examiner suggested to amend other independent claims to include the limitations of claim 29 to put the claims in allowable condition. The undersigned attorney agreed with the examiner's proposed amendment and authorized for the examiner's amendment.